arXiv:1704.08236v1 [hep-th] 26 Apr 2017

Dirac δ-function potential in quasiposition representation of a minimal-length scenario

M. F. Gusson, A. Oakes O. Gonçalves, R. O. Francisco
R. G. Furtado, J. C. Fabris* and J. A. Nogueira†

Departamento de Física, Centro de Ciências Exatas
Universidade Federal do Espírito Santo – UFES
29075-910 – Vitória – ES – Brasil

Abstract

A minimal-length scenario can be considered as an effective description of quantum gravity effects. In quantum mechanics the introduction of a minimal length can be accomplished through a generalization of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. In this scenario, state eigenvectors of the position operator are no longer physical states and the representation in momentum space or a representation in a quasiposition space must be used. In this work, we solve the Schroedinger equation with Dirac δ-functional potential in quasiposition space. We calculate the bound state energy and the coefficients of reflection and transmission for scattering states. We show that leading corrections are of order of the minimal length ($\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\beta})$) and the coefficients of reflection and transmission are no longer the same for the Dirac delta well and barrier as in ordinary quantum mechanics.

PACS numbers: 12.60.i, 03.65.Sq, 04.20.Cv, 03.65.Ca

Keywords: Minimal length; generalized uncertainty principle; Dirac delta-function potential.

1 Introduction

Gravity quantization has become a huge challenge to theoretical physicists. Despite enormous efforts employed, so far, it was not possible to obtain a theory which can be considered suitable and not even a consensus approach. Nevertheless, most of the candidate theories to gravity quantization seem to have one common point: the prediction of the existence of a minimal length, that is, a limit for the precision of a length measurement.

*julio.fabris@cosmo-ufes.org
†jose.nogueira@ufes.br

Although the first proposals for the existence of a minimal length were done by the beginning of 1930s [1, 2, 3], they were not connected with the quantum gravity, but instead of this with a nature cut-off that would remedy cumbersome divergences arising from quantization of systems with an infinite number of degrees of freedom. The relevant role that gravity plays in trying to probe a smaller and smaller region of the space-time was recognized by M. Bronstein [4] already in 1936, however his works did not attract a lot of attention. It was only in 1964 that C. A. Mead [5, 6] once again proposed a possible connection between gravitation and minimal length. Hence, we can assume that gravity may lead to an effective cut-off in the ultraviolet. Furthermore, if we are convinced that gravitational effects are considered when a minimal length is introduced then a minimal-length scenario could be thought of as an effective description of quantum gravity effects [7].

As far as we know, the introduction of a minimal-length scenario can be carried out through three different way [7, 8, 9]: a generalization of the Heisenberg's uncertainty principle (GUP), a deformation of the special relativity[1] (DSR) and a modification of the dispersion relation (MDR).

Various problems connected with the minimal length have been studied in the context of the non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Among them are the harmonic oscillator [10, 11, 12, 13, 14], the hydrogen atom [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], step and barrier potentials [21, 22], finite and infinite square wells [23, 24], as well as others.

An interesting problem is the Dirac δ-function potential in a minimal-length scenario. N. Ferkous [25] and M. I. Samar & V. M. Tkachuck [26] have independently calculated the bound state energy in "momentum space". In both papers, the authors have found a correction for the expression of energy in $\sqrt{\beta}$ and β ($\sqrt{\beta} \sim L_{min}$), but with different coefficients, therefore disagreeing outcomes. M. I. Samar and V. M. Tkachuck claim that is because, whereas they consider p belongs to $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\beta}}, \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right)$, Ferkous consider p belongs to $(-\infty, \infty)$. In this work, we propose to solve the problem of a non-relativistic particle of mass m in the presence of Dirac delta-function potential in quasiposition space. Since the quasiposition space representation is used we can consider the cases of bound states and scattering states as well. We find the same expression for the energy of the bound state obtained by Ferkous.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we show how to introduce a minimal-length scenario and find the time-independent Schroedinger equation in quasiposition space representation. In section 3 we solve the modified Schroedinger equation and find the bound state energy and the coefficients of reflection and transmission for the scattering states. We present our conclusions in section 4.

[1] It is named doubly special relativity because of the existence of two universal constants: light speed and minimal length.

2 Minimal-length scenario

In quantum theory, a minimal-length scenario can be accomplished by imposing a non-zero minimal uncertainty in the measurement of position which leads to generalized uncertainty principle (GUP). Since

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{|\langle [\hat{x}, \hat{p}] \rangle|}{2}, \tag{1}$$

a generalization of the uncertainty principle corresponds to a modification in the algebra of the operators. There are different suggestions of modification of the commutation relation between the position and momentum operators which implement a minimal-length scenario. We concern with the most usual of them, proposed by Kempf [10, 11], which in a 1-dimensional space is given by

$$[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] := i\hbar \left(1 + \beta \hat{p}^2\right), \tag{2}$$

where β is a parameter related to the minimal length. The commutation relation (2) corresponds to the GUP

$$\Delta x \Delta p \geq \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \beta (\Delta p)^2 + \beta \langle \hat{p} \rangle^2\right], \tag{3}$$

which implies the existence of a non-zero minimal uncertainty in the position $\Delta x_{min} = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}$.

Unfortunately, in this scenario the eigenstates of the position operator are not physical sates[2] and, consequently, the representation in position space can no longer be used, that is, a arbitrary state vector $|\psi\rangle$ can not be expanding in the basis of state eigenvectors of the position operator $\{|x\rangle\}$. Hence the obvious way ahead is to make use of the representation in momentum space:

$$\langle p|\hat{x}|\psi\rangle = i\hbar \left(1 + \beta p^2\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{\psi}(p)}{\partial p}, \tag{4}$$

$$\langle p|\hat{p}|\psi\rangle = p\tilde{\psi}(p). \tag{5}$$

However, the representation in momentum space is not suitable in some cases, such as, for example, when the wave function has to satisfy boundary condition at specifics points. So, the representation in quasiposition space [27],

$$\langle x^{ML}|\hat{x}|\psi(t)\rangle = x\psi^{qp}(x,t), \tag{6}$$

$$\langle x^{ML}|\hat{p}|\psi(t)\rangle = -i\hbar \left(1 - \beta\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right) \frac{\partial \psi^{qp}(x,t)}{\partial x}, \tag{7}$$

[2]That is because the uncertainty ΔA of a operator $\hat{A}$ in any of its state eigenvectors $|\psi_A\rangle$ must be zero, which is not the case for the position operator, since $\Delta x_{min} > 0$.

to first-order in β parameter, is more appropriate[3]. $|x^{ML}\rangle$ are state vectors of maximal localization which satisfy [10]

$$\langle x^{ML}|\hat{x}|x^{ML}\rangle = x, \text{with } x \in \Re, \tag{8}$$

$$(\Delta x)_{|x^{ML}\rangle} = \Delta x_{min} = \hbar\sqrt{\beta}, \tag{9}$$

and

$$\langle x^{ML}|x^{ML}\rangle = 1. \tag{10}$$

The time-independent Schroedinger equation for a non-relativistic particle of mass m in quasiposition space representation takes the form

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2\varphi^{qp}(x)}{dx^2} + \beta\frac{\hbar^4}{3m}\frac{d^4\varphi^{qp}(x)}{dx^4} + V(x)\varphi^{qp}(x) = E\varphi^{qp}(x). \tag{11}$$

The above modified Schroedinger equation shows that GUP effects are performed by fourth-order derivative term. This term modifies the probability current as follows[4] [28]

$$J = -\frac{i\hbar}{2m}\left(\psi^*\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} - \psi\frac{\partial\psi^*}{dx}\right) +$$

$$\frac{i\beta\hbar^3}{m}\left[\left(\psi^*\frac{\partial^3\psi}{\partial x^3} - \psi\frac{\partial^3\psi^*}{\partial x^3}\right) + \left(\frac{\partial^2\psi^*}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2}\frac{\partial\psi^*}{\partial x}\right)\right], \tag{12}$$

but it does not modify the probability density[5],

$$\rho = |\psi|^2. \tag{13}$$

3 Dirac δ-function potential

In this section, we consider a non-relativistic particle of mass m in the presence of Dirac delta-function potential in a minimal-length scenario. In according to Eq. (11) we have

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2\varphi(x)}{dx^2} + \beta\frac{\hbar^4}{3m}\frac{d^4\varphi(x)}{dx^4} - V_0\delta(x)\varphi(x) = E\varphi(x), \tag{14}$$

where $V_0 > 0$ is a constant.

Integrating Eq. (14) between $-\epsilon$ and ϵ (with ϵ arbitrarily small and positive), and then taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{d\varphi_{II}(0)}{dx} - \frac{d\varphi_I(0)}{dx}\right] - \frac{2}{3}\beta\hbar^2\left[\frac{d^3\varphi_{II}(0)}{dx^3} - \frac{d^3\varphi_I(0)}{dx^3}\right] + \frac{2mV_0}{\hbar^2}\varphi(0) = 0, \tag{15}$$

[3]P. Pedram [27] has proposed a representation in which $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_o$ and $\hat{p} = \frac{\tan(\sqrt{\beta}\hat{p}_o)}{\sqrt{\beta}}$, where $\hat{x}_o$ and $\hat{p}_o$ are ordinary operators of position and momentum, which obey the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{x}_o, \hat{p}_o] = i\hbar$.

[4]From now on, we are going to omit the qp superscript of the wave function for sake of simplicity.

[5]That is because the authors assume that there is no changes in the time-dependent part of the Schroedinger equation.

where $\varphi_I(x)$ and $\varphi_{II}(x)$ are the solutions of Eq. (14) for $x < 0$ and $x > 0$, respectively.

Since the third derivative of $\varphi(x)$ at $x = 0$ has a finite discontinuity (that is to say, a jump by a finite amount), we require that the second and first derivatives are continuous at $x = 0$. Consequently, Eq. (15) turns into

$$\frac{\beta}{3}\left[\frac{d^3\varphi_{II}(0)}{dx^3} - \frac{d^3\varphi_I(0)}{dx^3}\right] = \frac{mV_0}{\hbar^4}\varphi(0). \tag{16}$$

As it is well-known, taking into account the sign of the energy two case can then arise: (i) bound states when $E < 0$ and (ii) scattering states when $E > 0$.

3.1 Bound states

In this case, the general solution of Eq.(11) is given by

$$\varphi_{I,II}(x) = A_{I,II}e^{kx} + B_{I,II}e^{-kx} + C_{I,II}e^{k_\beta x} + D_{I,II}e^{-k_\beta x}, \tag{17}$$

where, to first order in β,

$$k := k_0\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\beta\hbar^2k_0^2\right), \tag{18}$$

$$k_\beta := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\hbar^2\beta}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\beta\hbar^2k_0^2\right) \tag{19}$$

and

$$k_0 := \sqrt{\frac{2m|E|}{\hbar^2}}. \tag{20}$$

The coefficients can be found, except by one normalization constant, requiring that solutions remain finite when $x \to \pm\infty$ and the continuity of solution and of its first and second derivatives at $x = 0$. We come to the result

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_I(x) = Ae^{kx} - \frac{k}{k_\beta}Ae^{k_\beta x}, & x < 0 \\ \varphi_{II}(x) = Ae^{-kx} - \frac{k}{k_\beta}Ae^{-k_\beta x}, & x > 0, \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

where A is the normalization constant.

From Eq. (16) we can find the bound state energy up to order β as

$$E = -\frac{mV_0^2}{2\hbar^2} + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta}{3}}\frac{m^2V_0^3}{\hbar^3} - 2\beta\frac{m^3V_0^4}{\hbar^4}, \tag{22}$$

which is in agreement with N. Ferkous's result [25]. It is interesting to note that the first correction brought about by the introduction of a minimal-length scenario is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\beta})$.

For a electron, the relative difference between the bound state energy arising from the introduction of a minimal length and the absolute value of the ordinary energy of the bound state is showed as a function of the minimal length for the energy about 1 eV in



Figure 1: Bound state: $\frac{\Delta E}{E_0}$ X L_{min} for $E_0 \approx 1$ eV.



Figure 2: Bound state: $\frac{\Delta E}{E_0}$ X E_0 for $L_{min} = 10^{-20}$ m.

Fig. 1 and as a function of E_0 (1 eV $\leq E_0 \leq$ 1 keV) for $L_{min} = 10^{-20}$ m in Fig. 2. In Fig. 1 we choose the 10^{-17} m upper value for the minimal length because it is in accordance with that commonly found in the literature [15, 29, 30] and it is consistent with the one at the electroweak scale [9, 21, 22]. For the Planck's length, $\frac{\Delta E}{E_0} \approx 8.4 \times 10^{-26}$, unfortunately a virtually unmeasurable effect quantum gravity using current technology.

3.2 Scattering states

In this case, the general solution of Eq.(11) is given by

$$\varphi_{I,II}(x) = A_{I,II}e^{ikx} + B_{I,II}e^{-ikx} + C_{I,II}e^{k'_\beta x} + D_{I,II}e^{-k'_\beta x}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$k'_\beta := \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\hbar^2\beta}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\beta\hbar^2 k_0^2\right). \tag{24}$$

Now we demand there is not reflected wave function for $x > 0$, consequently $B_{II} = 0$. From requirement that solutions remain finite when $x \to \pm\infty$ we have $D_I = 0$ and $C_{II} = 0$. In this case, the continuity of solution and of its first and second derivatives at $x = 0$ are not enough to find the coefficients. It is also necessary to use the discontinuity of the third derivative at $x = 0$, Eq. (16). After some algebra, we have

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_I(x) = Ae^{ikx} + \frac{ik'_\beta}{k}\frac{A}{b}e^{-ikx} - \frac{A}{b}e^{k'_\beta x}, & x < 0 \\ \varphi_{II}(x) = \frac{aA}{b}e^{-ikx} - \frac{A}{b}e^{-k'_\beta x}, & x > 0, \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where

$$a := 1 + \frac{2\beta\hbar^4 k'_\beta}{3mV_0}\left(k'^2_\beta + k^2\right), \tag{26}$$

$$b := a - i\frac{k'_\beta}{k}, \tag{27}$$

and A is a normalization constant.

Consequently, the reflection and transmission coefficients are given by

$$R = \left(\frac{k'_\beta}{k}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\left[1 + \frac{2\beta\hbar^4 k'_\beta}{3mV_0}\left(k'^2_\beta + k^2\right)\right]^2 + \left(\frac{k'_\beta}{k}\right)^2} \tag{28}$$

and

$$T = \left(\frac{k'_\beta}{k}\right)^2 \frac{\left[1 + \frac{2\beta\hbar^4 k'_\beta}{3mV_0}\left(k'^2_\beta + k^2\right)\right]^2}{\left[1 + \frac{2\beta\hbar^4 k'_\beta}{3mV_0}\left(k'^2_\beta + k^2\right)\right]^2 + \left(\frac{k'_\beta}{k}\right)^2}. \tag{29}$$

Note that $R + T = 1$, as must be.



Figure 3: Scattering state: $\frac{\Delta T}{T_0}$ X L_{min} for $E_0 \approx 1$ eV.

It is instructive to write the reflection and transmission coefficients up to first corrections. Then,

$$R = \left(1 + \frac{2\hbar^2|E|}{mV_0^2}\right)^{-1} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{2\beta}{3}}\frac{2mV_0}{\hbar}\left(1 + \frac{mV_0^2}{2\hbar^2|E|}\right)^{-1}\right] \tag{30}$$

and

$$T = \left(1 + \frac{mV_0^2}{2\hbar^2|E|}\right)^{-1} \left[1 + \sqrt{\frac{2\beta}{3}}\frac{m^2V_0^3}{\hbar^3|E|}\left(1 + \frac{mV_0^2}{2\hbar^2|E|}\right)^{-1}\right]. \tag{31}$$

Above results show that the reflection and the transmission coefficients are no longer the same in the cases of a delta-function well ($V_0 > 0$) and a delta-function barrier ($V_0 < 0$). Therefore the presence of a minimal length decreases the chances of tunneling.

It is also interesting to note that the first correction brought about by the introduction of a minimal-length scenario is $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\beta})$ in the same way as in the bound state energy.

Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 show the relative difference between the transmission coefficient arising from the introduction of a minimal length and T_0 (ordinary transmission coefficient) for the cases of a Dirac delta well (dashed line) and of a Dirac delta barrier (continuous line). Fig. 3 is for electrons scattering of energy about 1 eV and $V_0 = 2$ eVÅ. For the Planck's length, $\frac{\Delta T}{T_0} \approx 8.9 \times 10^{-27}$, again a virtually unmeasurable effect. Fig. 4 is for protons scattering of energy about 1 MeV and $V_0 = 3 \times 10^{-2}$ MeVÅ. For the Planck's length, $\frac{\Delta T}{T_0} \approx 4.7 \times 10^{-17}$. Note that $L_{min} \sim 10^{-17}$ m results in significant effects, which may be an indication that L_{min} is far from the electroweak scale.

3.3 Remarks

1. It is easy to see that in the limit $\beta \to 0$ we recover the results known for Dirac δ-function potential in ordinary quantum mechanics.



Figure 4: Scattering state: $\frac{\Delta T}{T_0}$ X L_{min} for $E_0 \approx 1$ MeV.

2. A more detailed analysis shows that k_β and k'_β do not vanish even if $m = 0$. Therefore, $e^{-k_\beta|x|}$ and $e^{-k'_\beta|x|}$ solutions still persist since $e^{-k_\beta|x|}$, $e^{-k'_\beta|x|} \to e^{-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\hbar\beta}}|x|}$ when $m = 0$. Consequently, this leads us to presume that such solutions are "background solutions" caused by introduction of an effective description of the effects of quantum gravity. However, since their coefficients in Eqs. (21) and (25) vanish when $m = 0$, they are not present in the bound state and the scattering states solutions.

3. It is important to point out that now the first derivative at $x = 0$ is no longer discontinuous. However, in the limit $\beta \to 0$ the discontinuity at $x = 0$ is recovered. Moreover, if the term of $\mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$ is considered in the Schroedinger equation the third derivative will turn into continuous at $x = 0$, and so on.

4. $e^{-k_\beta|x|}$ and $e^{-k'_\beta|x|}$ solutions are only significant for very small values of x, that is, high energy. Thus we could assume that they lie far outside validity range at which the Schroedinger equation may consistently work and throw them away. However, that is a naive assumption, because they lead to the emergence of traces of quantum gravity in low energy physics, as the previous results show. Note that they provide the continuity of first and second derivatives at $x = 0$.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we solve, in quasiposition space, the Schroedinger equation for a Dirac δ-function potential. Our result for the bound sate energy is in agreement with that calculated by Ferkous in momentum space. Moreover, we find that leading correction for the reflection and transmission coefficients of the scattering states are of order of the minimal length, $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\beta})$. We also show that in the presence of a minimal length the coefficients of reflection and transmission for the Dirac delta-function well and the

Dirac delta-function barrier are no longer the same. There is a decrease in the chances of tunneling.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank FAPES, CAPES and CNPq (Brazil) for financial support.

References

[1] H. Kragh, *"Arthur March, Werner Heisenberg and the search for a smallest length"*, Rewe d'Histoire des Sciences **8**(4), 401 (2012).

[2] H. Kragh, *"Heisenberg's lattice world: the 1930 theory sketch"*, Am. J. Phys. **63**, 595 (1995).

[3] W. Heisenberg, *"Über die in der Theorie der Elementarteilchen auftretende universelle Länge"*, Annalen der Phsik **424**, 20 (1938).

[4] M. Bronstein, *"Quantum theory of weak gravitational fields"*, (republication), Gen. Rel. Grav. **44**, 267 (2012).

[5] C. A. Mead, *"Possible connection between gravitation and fundamental length"*, Phys. Rev. **135**, B849 (1964).

[6] C. A. Mead and F. Wilczek, *"Walking the Planck Length through History"*, Physics Today **54**, 15 (2001).

[7] S. Hossenfelder, *"A note on theories with a minimal length"*, Class. Quantum Grav. **23**, 1815 (2006).

[8] A. Tawfik and A. Diab, *"Generalized uncertainty principle: Approaches and applications"*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **23**(12), 1430025 (2014).

[9] A. Tawfik and A. Diab, *"Review on Generalized Uncertainty Principle"*, Rept. Prog. Phys. **78**, 126001 (2015).

[10] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, *"Hilbert Space Representation Of The Minimal Length Uncertainty Relation"*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1108 (1995).

[11] A. Kempf, *"Non-pointlike particles in harmonic oscillators"*, J. Phys. A **30**, 2093 (1997).

[12] L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura and T. Takeuchi, *"Exact solution of the harmonic oscillator in arbitrary dimensions with minimal length uncertainty relations"*, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 125027 (2002).

[13] I. Dadić, L. Jonke and S. Meljanac, *"Harmonic oscillator with minimal length uncertainty relations and ladder operators"*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 087701 (2003).

[14] H. Hassanabadi, E. Maghsoodi, Akpan N. Ikot and S. Zarrinkamar, *"Minimal Length Schrödinger Equation with Harmonic Potential in the Presence of a Magnetic Field"*, Advances in High Energy Physics **2013**, 923686 (2013).

[15] F. Brau, *"Minimal length uncertainty relation and hydrogen atom"*, J. Phys. A **32**, 7691 (1999).

[16] R. Akhoury and Y. P. Yao, *"Minimal length uncertainty relation and the hydrogen spectrum"*, Phys. Lett. B **572**, 37 (2003).

[17] S. Benczik, L. N. Chang, D. Minic, and T. Takeuchi, *"Hydrogen-atom spectrum under a minimal-length hypothesis"*, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 012104 (2005).

[18] M. M. Stetsko and V. M. Tkachuk, *"Perturbation hydrogen-atom spectrum in deformed space with minimal length"*, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 012101 (2006).

[19] K. Nouicer, *"Coulomb potential in one dimension with minimal length: A path integral approach"*, J. Math. Phys. **48**, 112104 (2007).

[20] D. Bouaziz and N. Ferkous, *"Hydrogen atom in momentum space with a minimal length"*, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 022105 (2010).

[21] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *"Universality of Quantum Gravity Correction"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 221301 (2008).

[22] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *"Phenomenological implications of the generalized uncertainty principle"*, Can. J. Phys. **87**, 233 (2009).

[23] K. Nozari and T. Azizi, *"Some aspects of gravitational quantum mechanics"*, Gen. Revativ. Gravit. **38**(5), 735 (2006).

[24] G. Blado, C. Owens and V. Meyers *"Quantum wells and the generalized uncertainty principle"*, Eur. J. Phys. **35**, 065011 (2014).

[25] N. Ferkous, *"Regularization of the Dirac δ potential with minimal length"*, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 064101 (2013).

[26] M. I. Samar and V. M. Tkachuk, *"Exactly solvable problems in the momentum space with a minimum uncertainty in position"*, J. Math. Phys. **57**, 042102 (2016).

[27] P. Pedram, *"New approach to nonperturbative quantum mechanics with minimal length uncertainty"*, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 024016 (2012).

[28] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, *"Phenomenological implications of the generalized uncertainty principle"*, Can. J. Phys. **87**, 233 (2009).

[29] C. Quesne and V. M. Tkachuk, *"Composite system in deformed space with minimal"*, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 012106 (2010).

[30] F. L. Antonacci Oakes, R. O. Francisco, J. C. Fabris and J. A. Nogueira, *"Ground state of the hydrogen atom via Dirac equation in a minimal-length scenario"*, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2495 (2013).